

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES

v.

ALEXANDER STANG

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

MAG. NO. 20-16093

\*\*\*\*\*  
**ORDER REGARDING USE OF VIDEO CONFERENCING/TELECONFERENCING**

In accordance with Fed. R. Crim. P. 43(b)(2) and Standing Order 2020-06, this Court finds:

☒ That the Defendant (or the Juvenile) has consented to the use of video  
teleconferencing/teleconferencing to conduct the proceeding(s) held today, after consultation  
with counsel; and

☒ That the proceeding(s) to be held today cannot be further delayed without serious harm

to the interests of justice, for the following specific reasons:

1. COVID pandemic, 2. Inability to conduct in-person hearing for indefinite period, and
3. Consent of defendant.

Accordingly, the proceeding(s) held on this date may be conducted by:

☒ Video Teleconferencing

☐ Teleconferencing, because video teleconferencing is not reasonably available for the


following reason:

☐ The Defendant (or the Juvenile) is detained at a facility lacking video  
teleconferencing capability.

☐ Other:

Date:

9/23/20

  
Honorable Anthony R. Mautone  
United States Magistrate Judge